27

28

-	Case 3:08-cv-04381-BZ	Document 13	Filed 12/23/2008	Page 1 of 4	
1 2 3 4 5 6	ALAN R. BRAYTON, ESQ. DAVID R. DONADIO, ESQ. FRANK J. ANDERS, ESQ., BRAYTON PURCELL LL Attorneys at Law 222 Rush Landing Road P.O. Box 6169 Novato, California 94948-61 (415) 898-1555 (415) 898-1247 (Fax No.)	, S.B. #73685 2., S.B. #154436 S.B. #227208 P	Filed 12/23/2008	Page 1 of 4	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11	THEONI SALCEDO, et al.,	)	No. C 08-04381-I	BZ	
12	Plaintiffs,	}			
13	vs.	}			
14		}	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES		
15	NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBU AND DRY DOCK COMPAN		PENDING TRAN	ISFER	
16	Defendants.				
17		}			
18					
19	Pursuant to Civil L. R. 7-11 and 7-12, Plaintiffs respectfully move the Court for an Order				
20	extending time as set forth in the Order of October 27, 2008 (Document 12) for the following				
21	good cause:				
22	On October 27, 2008, this Court issued an Order (Document 12) granting the Joint				
23	Stipulation and Motion of the parties (Document 11) seeking to continue the case management				
24	deadlines pending action by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") to transfer				
25	this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for				
26	consolidated pretrial matters as part of Asbestos Multi-District Litigation (MDL #875).				

consolidated pretrial matters as part of Asbestos Multi-District Litigation (MDL #875). On or about October 20, 2008, Defendants NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING AND

DRY DOCK COMPANY, and NORTHROP GRUMMAN SHIP SYSTEMS, INC. provided

K:\Injured\108769\Fed (wd)\mtn continue re CTO.wpd 1
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES

notice of this action to the JPML and sought transfer of the case into the MDL.

Despite this notice to the JPML, the Clerk of the Panel has yet to issue its Conditional Transfer Order.

On December 11, 2008, staff for Plaintiffs' counsel contacted the Clerk of the Panel and was informed that they were in receipt of Defendants notice to tag-along into the MDL but that a Conditional Transfer Order was still pending.

On December 22, 2008, staff for Plaintiffs' counsel contacted the Clerk of the Panel and was informed that they a Conditional Transfer Order on this case was expected to be issued either the week of December 22, 2008 or the week of December 29, 2008, but that it could not yet be confirmed.

From the experience in other cases before the JPML, it is the practice of the Clerk of the Panel to issue a Conditional Transfer Order ("CTO") that, by its operation, becomes effective upon receipt by the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. However, it is also the practice of the Clerk of the Panel to put into the Order the "condition" that the mailing of the Order to Pennsylvania be held off for 15 days to allow time for objection by any party. When such time has expired, the condition having been satisfied, the Clerk of the Panel sends the Order to the Clerk of the Pennsylvania Court, whereupon, by operation of the CTO, jurisdiction transfers.

Plaintiffs expect that deadlines set forth in the Order of October 27, 2008 will come to pass before the JPML's order is operative. As the parties agreed in their stipulation that sought the October 27, 2008 Order, a further continuance of this action (or a stay) would allow the transfer to take place, and allow pretrial matters to be handled by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Granting this motion would promote judicial efficiency, allow consistency in pretrial rulings, and be most convenient to the parties.

//// ////

27 ////

28 | ///

	Case 3:08-cv-04381-BZ Document 14 Filed 12/24/08 Page 3 of 4				
	Case 3:08-cv-04381-BZ Document 13 Filed 12/23/2008 Page 3 of 4				
1	For these reasons plaintiff respectfully request the Court VACATE its Order of October				
2	27, 2008 issue an Order STAYING this action pending the outcome of the MDL Panel's				
3	decision on the merits of the transfer. In the alternative, plaintiffs respectfully request that this				
4	Court continue the Case Management Deadlines to allow time for the JPML to act as expected.				
5	DA 1 D - 1 - 22 2000 DD AVTONADUDCELL LID				
6	Dated: December 23, 2008  BRAYTON PURCELL LLP				
7	/s/ David R. Donadio				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
9					
10	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY				
11					
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date and deadlines specified in the Order of				
13	October 27, 2008 (Document 12), are hereby VACATED and that this action is STAYED				
14	pending the outcome of the JPML's decision on the merits of the transfer.				
15	Dated:				
16	Bernard Zimmerman				
17	United States Magistrate Judge				
18	[PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE] ORDER TO CONTINUE				
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing date and deadlines specified in the Order of				
20	October 27, 2008 (Document 12) are hereby VACATED.				
21	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the following case management deadlines are				
22	continued as follows:				
23	1. The Case Management Conference is [set for a date after April 20, 2009 to wit:				
24	May J, 2009; at 4:00 p.m., Courtroom "G", 15th Floor, 450 Golden Gate				
25	Avenue, San Francisco, California.				
26	2. Last day to meet and confer re initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process				
27	selection, and discovery plan is 21 days before the Case Management Conference in paragraph				
28	above.				
	Kills insulation 740 End (sudil) meta continue so CTO und				
	K:\Injured\108769\Fed (wd\)\runnin continue re CTO.wpd				

## Case 3:08-cv-04381-BZ Document 14 Filed 12/24/08 Page 4 of 4

Filed 12/23/2008

Page 4 of 4

Document 13

Case 3:08-cv-04381-BZ

K:\Injured\108769\Fed (wd)\mtn continue re CTO.wpd

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES

3. Last day to file Joint ADR Certification with Stipulation to ADR process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference is 21 days before the Case Management Conference in paragraph 1, above. 4. Last day to complete initial disclosures or state objection to Rule 26(f) Report, file/serve Case Management Statement and file/serve Rule 26(f) Report is 5 court days before the Case Management Conference in paragraph 1, above. Dated: Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge